Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,648	ARONSTAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank S. Tsay	3672
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Frank S. Tsay</u> .	.(3)	
(2) <u>Chandran D. Kumar</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 February 2006	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A typographical error in claim 1, lines 9-10 has been discussed, applicant agrees to delete the language "to reduce" to avoide possible 112 rejection.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
2		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		